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## 1 Introduction

In the joint research I conducted with K. Mimatsu (Mimatsu and Utsugi 2002; hereafter M\&U), the fundamental structure of Seoul Korean prosody is examined. The data examined in M\&U was only a part of what has actually been recorded. Although the rest of the collected data has been recorded as a preliminary survey, it will provide good material for the study of Seoul Korean prosody. Thus, in this paper, I describe these data ${ }^{1}$.

The next subsection overviews previous studies on Seoul Korean prosody, focusing on Jun (1993) and subsequent studies ${ }^{2}$.

### 1.1 Previous studies

One of the most influential studies on Seoul Korean prosody is a work by S.A. Jun (Jun 1993). In this work, she introduces a framework of Intonational Phonology into the area of Seoul Korean prosody and establishes a model for the prosody. In her model, Seoul Korean prosody consists of two levels of phrase, the Accentual Phrase (AP) and the Intonational Phrase (IP). The AP is a phrase determined by tones ${ }^{3}$. When a phrase consists of two syllables or fewer, it has LH tones. When it consists of four syllables or more, it has LHLH tones. The IP is a phrase that consists of several APs and ends in a boundary

[^0]tone．
This model has been applied to a prosodic labeling convention called K － ToBI（Korean ToBI），which shares the essential framework with other ToBI （Tones and Break Indices）systems such as the original ToBI developed for En－ glish prosody（Silverman et al．1992）and J＿ToBI for Japanese prosody（Ven－ ditti 1995）．K－ToBI has been revised a few times，and the latest version（Ver－ sion 3．1）was presented in 2000 （Jun 2000）．In the K－ToBI system，tones are labeled in the similar way to Jun（1993）in the phonetic tone tier．For example， LHLH tones of an AP in Jun（1993）are labeled L＋H L＋Ha in K－ToBI ${ }^{4}$ ．

Although K－ToBI has the same basic idea as Jun＇s original model，it has a number of differences from the original model，which reflects findings after Jun（1993）．For example，while the original model identifies only one type of tone at the AP boundary，such as $\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{K}-\mathrm{ToBI}$ distinguishes two tones， Ha and La．In addition，while Jun（1993）states that the H tone at the AP－medial position appears on the second syllable of the AP，K－ToBI identifies the +H tone that can sometimes appear on the third syllable instead of in the normal position of the second syllable．

Jun（1993）＇s model is examined by M\＆U，which investigates the pitch and duration of declarative sentences in Seoul Korean．As for pitch，the data ob－ tained from the experiments agree with Jun＇s model，in which the Accentual Phrase has two tonal peaks．M\＆U call these two peaks the＂phrase－medial peak（kuchuu peak）＂and the＂phrase－final peak（kumatsu peak）＂${ }^{5}$ ．As for du－ ration，however，it is revealed that Jun＇s model cannot explain the rhythmic patterning of Seoul Korean，which suggests that another model is needed for the rhythm．

## 1．2 Purpose

This paper describes the full data originally recorded for M\＆U．The description especially concerns intonation and phrasing．In addition，I point out certain findings and problems of previous studies，based on this description．
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## 2 Method

The data analyzed in this paper are the same as those in M\＆U．Therefore，the method described in this section is nearly equivalent to that described in $M \& U$ ． However，there are two exceptions．First，the speech materials consist of 30 sentences in this paper，while there are 10 sentences in M\＆U．This difference is due to $M \& U$ analyzing only the part of the full material．Second，the analyzing procedures are different since the material is newly analyzed for this paper．

## 2．1 Material

The material in this survey consists of 30 sentences，which are shown in Ap－ pendix $\mathrm{A}^{6}$ ．Sentences No． 1 to 10 correspond to those in M\＆U．

In the selection of material，three points are considered．First，／s／，／h／，aspi－ rated obstruents and tense obstruents are avoided in the most material since it is well known that they radically raise F0（e．g．Jun 1996，Nagato 2003），which is not the focus of this study．Second，sentences with open syllables are preferred． This biased selection is intended especially for $M \& U$ ，in which the influence of syllable structure assumed by Lee（1973）is controlled ${ }^{7}$ ．Third，sentences that end with the so－called hay－form ${ }^{8}$ are selected in the most of the material．This selection relates to segmentation．Since one of the purposes of M\＆U was to investigate rhythm，including segments in which segmentation is difficult，such as glides，is avoided．Thus，the hay－form，which has no glide，was appropriate for M\＆U rather than the hayyo－form，the more polite form，which has a glide

## 2．2 Subjects

The subjects in this study are the following 2 native speakers of Seoul Korean． Their majors are neither linguistics nor phonetics．

HJG，born in 1973，male
PIG，born in 1971，female
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## 2．3 Recording procedures

Recordings were made on digital audio tape（DAT），using a DAT－corder（Sony TCD D－7）and a dynamic microphone（AKG D112），in a recording booth in the Phonetics Laboratory of the University of Tsukuba．The recordings were sampled at $48,000 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ．

A set of cards from which to read the material was made as follows．First， each sentence of the material was printed in Hangul（Korean characters）on three cards；thus，a total of 90 cards（ 30 sentences $\times 3$ ）were printed．Then， these cards were randomized．After this， 10 dummy cards were added to the 90 cards， 5 cards at the top and 5 cards at the bottom．The dummy cards were included to avoid the instable state of speech intensity．Thus，the full set of cards consisted of 100 cards： 90 cards and 10 dummy cards．

Before the recordings，the subjects were requested to practice reading the cards．They were instructed to read the cards at a natural speech rate，without pauses within a sentence，with declarative intonation．No explanation about the purpose of the recordings or the material was provided．Therefore，our purpose was unknown．In addition，no special interpretations for sentence meanings， and no context，were specified．

The recordings were made 8 times per set of cards．Thus， 24 tokens were obtained per sentence．This considerable number of tokens was planned since inter－speaker and intra－speaker variation was expected．To reduce the load for the subjects，the recordings were made over two days．

## 2．4 Editing and analyzing procedures

The data digitized at $48,000 \mathrm{~Hz}$ were downsampled to $16,000 \mathrm{~Hz}$ and saved as wave files，using Pratat（Version 4．1．20），the free software produced by P．Boersma and D．Weenink（University of Amsterdam）．The files were then analyzed using PraAt．

Among the 24 tokens per sentence，only 10 tokens were analyzed．These 10 tokens included the second token to the sixth token in the recordings made on the first day，and the first token to the fifth token in the recording made on the second day．The first token in the recordings of the first day was excluded because it was pronounced with a higher intensity than the other tokens ${ }^{9}$ ．

The data were analyzed as follows．First，they were segmented，referring to wide－band spectrograms and intensity contours．After this，F0 was esti－

[^3]mated only to vowels and nasals ${ }^{10}$ ，which was segmented as described above． This estimation was made using the autocorrelation method based on Boersma （1993）．Then，F0 contours were drawn as shown in Appendix B．As seen in these figures，each figure includes the contour of every token of the same sen－ tence．The F0（the vertical axis）is shown in the logarithmic scale，and the time （the horizontal scale）was normalized．

## 3 Results

Appendix B shows the F0 contours of the data．Of the two groups of contours in a figure，the lower contours show HJG＇s data，and the upper contours show PIG＇s data．As for $<18>,<19>,<20\rangle,<21\rangle,<24\rangle,<25\rangle,<26>$ ，and $<27>$ ，only the second phonological phrase is shown since this part is the focus of this paper．

As can be seen from these figures，the F0 contours of Seoul Korean have a few peaks in a sentence．Among these peaks，the discussion in 4.1 concerns phrase－medial peaks，and that in 4.2 concerns phrase－final peaks．In addition to these peaks，it can be seen that there is global downtrend of contours．In addition，the sentence－final predicates show a gradual falling without peaks when they are short．

In $<13\rangle,<17>$ ，and $<20>$ in HJG＇s data and $<7>,<8\rangle$ ，and $<15>$ in PIG＇s data，two different types of contour are distinguished，which I call Type A and Type B．For these sentences，each type is also shown separately in Appendix B．

Appendix C shows the tones and phrasings of the data．The tones annotated here correspond to those in the phonetic tone tier of K－ToBI．When a tone is unclear or optional，it is parenthesized．

The phrasing in Appendix C shows the AP phrasing in Jun＇s model．If more than one interpretation is possible，I show every possible interpretation rather than deciding on one interpretation since it will be more useful for descriptive purposes．When there are two different types as noted above，the annotations are shown separately．IPs are not shown in this table since IP boundaries in－ side the sentence are hardly seen in the data probably because they are short sentences with simple syntactic structures with instructions not to pause．How－ ever，despite these instructions，there are a few cases in which there are pauses， which results in perceiving an IP boundary．This case is noted in Appendix C．
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## 4 Discussion

This section points out certain findings and problems of previous studies，based on the data described in the last section．

## 4．1 Phrase－medial peaks

As noted in the introduction，it has been pointed out that a phrase－medial peak normally appears on the second syllable of an AP when the AP has 4 or more syllables．This feature is also identified in the current data．For example，peaks appear on the second syllable of［nomunado］in $\langle 6\rangle$ ，and of［tcogoriruil］in $<26>$ ．

This feature is not influenced by the morphological structure．A phrase－ medial peak appears on the second syllable of an AP even when the second syllable is located in a suffix．For instance，kanulako（［kanurago］）in $<24>$ ， which consists of a stem $k a$ and a suffix nulako，has a peak on the second syllable［nu］even though it is a part of the suffix．Similarly，kasinulako （［kacinurago］）in $<25>$ has a peak on［ci］and muleytaka（［muredaga］）has a peak on［re］．These results suggest that phrase－medial peaks are not specified at the lexical level．

Similar results are also seen in tal．ilako（［tarirago］）of $<19>$ and pok．ilako （［pogirago］）of $<21>$ ．Interestingly，these phonological words have the same pitch pattern as those of $<18\rangle$（talilako）and $<21\rangle$（pokilako），respectively， even though their morphological organization is different．Since other phonetic features seem also to be identical between each sentence of the pairs，their phonetic representations may be completely identical．

## 4．2 Ha and La at the AP boundary

In $<8>$ of PIG＇s data，we can find two types of contour，in which one（Type A）can be interpreted as two APs，and the other（Type B）can be interpreted as one AP，namely，dephrasing．Interestingly，when I listened to all of the tokens of this sentence in PIG＇s data，I heard the intermediate pattern between the two types．This intermediate type can also be identified from the figure． In the 4th syllable［do］of PIG＇s contours in Figure 10，while a few contours have a lower pitch and a few contours have a higher pitch，some contours have a middle pitch．This continuousness challenges Jun＇s，and probably most of the Intonational Phonologist＇s，premise that the levels of phrase boundary are discrete．

However，there is another interpretation of the phrasing of the sentence in question，which I support here．The two types of contour can be interpreted as having the same phrasing，namely two APs．With this interpretation，the
first AP of Type B has a La tone instead of a Ha tone at the boundary．This interpretation of phrasing is supported by the fact that the initial consonant of the second AP in the type B is voiceless．

Although this interpretation avoids the continuousness of the phrase bound－ ary，it cannot avoid the continual nature of this sentence itself．I believe this continuous nature is attributed to the continuousness between Ha and La．

## 4．3 Compounds

The data shown in the results include a number of compounds，which manifest interesting behavior．Table 1 shows the number of APs in compounds．

Table 1：The number of APs in compounds．When there are two phrasing possibilities，both possibilities are shown．

| Sentence | Word | the number of APs |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | HJG | PIG |
| $<12>$ | moca－keli | 1 or 2 | 2 |
| $<14>$ | petu－namu | 2 | 1 |
| $<15>$ | namu－tali | 2 | 1 or 2 |
| $<27>$ | min－cekoli | 2 | 1 |

As Table 1 shows，some compounds clearly have an AP boundary inside． These phrasings are noteworthy since an AP normally consists of one or more words，not morphemes ${ }^{11}$ ．

However，there is another interpretation in which compounds with an AP boundary inside are not actually compounds but phrases．This interpretation is possible since the genitive particle is sometimes omitted in consecutive nouns in Korean．For example，namutali in $<15>$ can be regarded as the phrase， namи tali，in which the genitive particle after namu is omitted ${ }^{12}$ ．Whether consecutive nouns such as namutali are compounds or phrases is in question．

The same question is also involved with wuli cip in $<29>$ ，which is pro－ nounced as one AP．This consecution is normally regarded as a phrase in Ko－ rean orthography ${ }^{13}$ ．However，it can be interpreted as a compound．
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## 4．4 Negative adverb an

Sentences $<16>$ and $<17>$ are potentially homonymous sentences．While the predicate of the former sentence is the verb，anca．yo（sit down），without an adverb，that of the latter sentence consists of the negative adverb，an，and the verb，ca．yo（sleep）．

Interestingly，HJG distinguishes these two sentences in a few tokens，while those in most of HJG＇s data and all of PIG＇s data are identical．In the tokens in which $<17>$ is distinguished from $<16>$ ，an AP boundary is inserted immedi－ ately after the negative adverb．However，this exceptional pronunciation might be unnatural，resulting from the intentional differentiation of the two sentences under unusual laboratory conditions even though I，who is a non－native speaker of Korean，have difficulty to judge whether the sentence in question is really unusual or not．Further investigation is needed．

## 4．5 Variability of pitch

In the figures shown in Appendix B，it can be seen that some parts are quite constant while others are variable．For example，in HJG＇s $<5>$ ，the peak height of［nomuna］is more variable than that of［nomu］．This variability may be due to factors that vary the range of pitch，which include downtrend such as dec－ lination and downstep，and other factors such as emphasis．Even though these factors seem to be important in Seoul Korean prosody，few studies have been conducted．Further research is necessary．

## 5 Conclusion

In this preliminary study，I have described the data on Seoul Korean prosody， with special reference to intonation and phrasing．In addition，several findings and issues are discussed．The findings are summarized as follows．
－A phrase－medial peak appears in the second syllable of an AP，as stated in previous studies．Its location is not concerned with the morphological structure．
－It is likely that the relation between Ha and La at the AP boundary is continuous rather than discrete．

In addition，I raise some issues on Seoul Korean prosody based on the data， which concern compounds，the negative adverb，an，and the variable nature of pitch．My future study will examine these issues．
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## Appendix

## A Material

The following illustrates the material used in the recordings．For each sentence， the broad transcriptions of IPA，transliterations（the Yale system of romaniza－ tion）with glosses and translations are shown．Abbreviations used for glosses are as follows．NOM：nominative case particle，ACC：accusative case parti－ cle，DIR：directional particle，TOP：topic particle，QT：quotative particle，SH： subject honorific suffix，DC：declarative sentence－type suffix，PAST：past tense suffix，POL：polite speech level suffix（so－called hayyo－form），INT：intimate speech level suffix（so－called hay－form）．

| A | $<1>$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { [nabiga nara] } \\ & \text { napi-ka nal-a. } \\ & \text { butterfly-NOM fly-INT } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $<2>$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { "A butterfly flies." } \\ & \text { [nabiga naraga] } \\ & \text { napi-ka nalaka. } \\ & \text { butterfly-NOM fly away (INT) } \end{aligned}$ |
|  | ＜3＞ | ＂A butterfly flies away．＂ <br> ［nabiga naradanı］ <br> napi－ka nalatany－e． <br> butterfly－NOM fly around－INT |
| B | ＜4＞ | ＂A butterfly flies around．＂ ［nodo nomu nogurowa］ ne－to nemu nekulew－e． you－also too generous－INT |
|  | ＜5＞ | ＂You，also，are too generous．＂ <br> ［nodo nomuna nogurəwo］ <br> ne－to nemuna nekulew－e． <br> you－also too generous－INT |
|  | ＜6＞ | ＂You，also，are too generous．＂ <br> ［nodo nomunado nogurowo］ <br> ne－to nemunato nekulew－e． <br> you－also too generous－INT |
| C | $<7>$ | ＂You，also，are too generous．＂ ［padaro ka］ |

```
pata-lo ka.
sea-DIR go (INT)
"I go to the sea."
    <8> [padarodo ka]
        pata-lo-to ka.
    sea-DIR-also go (INT)
    "I also go to the sea."
    <9> [padaro tcaduu ka]
    pata-lo cacwu ka.
    sea-DIR often go (INT)
    "I often go to the sea."
    <10> [padarodo tcartu ka]
    pata-lo-to cacwu ka.
    sea-DIR-also often go (INT)
    "I also often go to the sea."
D <11> [modaga modara]
    moca-ka mocala.
    hat-NOM insufficient (INT)
    "Hats are not enough."
    <12> [modagoriga mod&ara]
    mocakeli-ka mocala.
    hat stand-NOM insufficient (INT)
    "Hat stands are not enough."
E <13> [namuga pojo]
    namu-ka po.y-e.
    tree-NOM be seen-INT
    "We can see a tree."
    <14> [podurnamuga pojo]
        petunamu-ka po.y-e.
        willow-NOM be seen-INT
        "We can see a willow."
        <15> [namudariga pojo]
            namutali-ka po.y-e.
            wooden bridge-NOM be seen-INT
            "We can see a wooden bridge."
F <16> [nanum an\Varangleajo]
```

```
na－nun anc－ayo．
I－TOP sit down－POL
＂I sit down．＂
\(<17>\)［nanuin an tcajo］
na－nun an ca－yo．
I－TOP not sleep－POL
＂I don＇t sleep．＂
G＜18＞［igosum tarirago he］
ikes－un tali－la－ko ha－y．
this－TOP bridge－be－QT say－INT
＂We call this＇tali（bridge）＇．＂
\(<19>\)［igosum taricago he］
ikes－un tal－ila－ko ha－y．
this－TOP moon－be－QT say－INT
＂We call this＇tal（moon）＇．＂
\(\mathrm{H}<20>\)［igosum pogirago he］
ikes－un poki－la－ko ha－y．
this－TOP example－be－QT say－INT
＂We call this＇poki（example）＇．＂
\(<21>\)［igaswin pogirago he］
ikes－un pok－ila－ko ha－y．
this－TOP happiness－be－QT say－INT
＂We call this＇pok（happiness）＇．＂
I \(<22>\)［tcibedo kirumi modara］
cip－ey－to kilum－i mocala．
house－at－also oil－NOM insufficient（INT）
＂Oil is not enough also in the house．＂
J \(<23>\)［padado muri modara］
pata－to mul－i mocala．
sea－also water－NOM insufficient（INT）
＂Water is not enough also in the sea．＂
K \(<24>\)［isaruil kanurago pap＊as＊ว］
isa－lul ka－nulako papp－ass－e．
move－ACC go－as a result of busy－PAST－INT
＂I was busy moving out．＂
\(<25>\)［isaruil kacinurago pap＊шбэt＊e］
```

```
isa-lul ka-si-nulako pappu-sy-ess-ta-y.
move-ACC go-SH-as a result of busy-SH-PAST-DC-INT
"(I heard that someone was) busy moving out."
L <26> [nanum tcogoricul tcoafie]
na-nun cekoli-lul coaha-y.
I-TOP cekoli-ACC like-INT
"I like cekoli (Korean jackets)."
    <27> [nanum mintcogorirul tcoafie]
na-nun mincekoli-lul coaha-y.
I-TOP mincekoli-ACC like-INT
"I like mincekoli (simple Korean jackets)."
M <28> [muredaga sogmmull thajo]
mul-eytaka sokum-ul tha-yo.
water-into salt-ACC put-POL
"I put salt in the water."
N <29> [pobenum uci tçibe ops*o]
    popay-nun wuli cip-ey eps-e.
    treasure-TOP our house-at not exist-INT
    "There is no treasure in our house."
    <30> [uri abodinum mocis*)]
    wuli apeci-nun mesiss-e.
    our father-TOP handsome-INT
    "My father is handsome."
```


## B F0 contours

The following shows the F0 contours ${ }^{14}$ ．F0（vertical axis）is shown in a log－ arithmic scale，and time（horizontal axis）is normalized．In each figure，the lower contours show HJG＇s data，and the upper contours show PIG＇s data．As for $\langle 18\rangle,<19\rangle,<20\rangle,<21\rangle,<24\rangle,<25\rangle,<26\rangle$ ，and $<27\rangle$ ，only the second phonological phrase is shown since this part is the focus of this paper．
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Figure 8：＜7＞PIG Type A
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Figure 9：＜7＞PIG Type B
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Figure 10：＜$<>$
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Figure 11：$<8>$ PIG Type A
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Figure 12：$<8>$ PIG Type B


Time（normalized）
Figure 13：＜9＞
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Figure 15：＜11＞
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Figure 16：$<12>$


Time（normalized） Figure 17：＜13＞


Time（normalized）
Figure 18：$<13>$ HJG Type A
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Figure 19：＜13＞HJG Type B
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Figure 21：＜15＞


Time（normalized）
Figure 22：$<15>$ PIG Type A
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Figure 23：＜ $15>$ PIG Type B


Time（normalized）
Figure 24：$<16>$


Time（normalized）
Figure 25：$<17>$
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Figure 26：＜17＞HJG Type A
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Figure 27：＜17＞HJG Type B
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Figure 28：＜18＞


Time（normalized） Figure 29：＜19＞


Time（normalized）
Figure 30：$<20>$


Time（normalized）
Figure 31：＜20 $>$ HJG Type A


Figure 32： $\begin{gathered}\text { Time（normalized）} \\ <20\rangle \text { HJG Type B }\end{gathered}$
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Figure 33：＜21＞
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Time（normalized）
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Time（normalized）
Figure 42：＜30＞

## C Phrasing and tones

The following shows the tones and phrasings of the data．The tones annotated here correspond to those in the phonetic tone tier of K－ToBI．When a tone is unclear or optional，it is parenthesized．The phrasing corresponds to the AP phrasing in Jun（1993）＇s model．If more than one interpretation is possible，I show every possible interpretation．When there are two different types as noted in the section 3 ，the annatations are shown separately．


|  | PIG |  | \｛nodo $\}$ \｛nэmunado $\}$ \｛noguirowo | 10 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | L Ha L＋H L＋Ha L＋H L\％ |  |
| ＜7＞ | HJG |  | \｛padaro gat | 10 |
|  |  |  | L＋H L\％ |  |
|  | PIG | Type A | \｛padaro $\}$ \｛ka \} | 9 |
|  |  |  | L＋HHa L L\％ |  |
|  |  | Type B | \｛padaro $\}$ \｛ka $\}$ | 1 |
|  |  |  | L＋HLa L L\％ |  |
|  |  |  | or $\{$ padaro ka\} |  |
|  |  |  | L＋ H L |  |
| ＜8＞ | HJG |  | \｛padarodo $\{$ ga $\}$ | 10 |
|  |  |  | L＋H La L L\％ |  |
|  |  |  | or $\{$ padarodo gat |  |
|  |  |  | L＋H L\％ |  |
|  | PIG | Type A | \｛padarodo $\{$ ka $\}$ | 7 |
|  |  |  | L＋HL＋Ha L L\％ |  |
|  |  | Type B | \｛padarodo\} \{ka \} | 3 |
|  |  |  | L＋HL＋La L L\％ |  |
|  |  |  | or \｛padarodo ka\} |  |
|  |  |  | L＋H L\％ |  |
| ＜9＞ | HJG |  | \｛padaro\} \{tcadzu gat | 10 |
|  |  |  | L Ha L（ +H ）L\％ |  |
|  |  |  | or $\{$ padaro $\}\{$ tcarbu $\}$ \｛ga $\}$ |  |
|  |  |  | L Ha L Ha L L\％ |  |
|  | PIG |  | \｛padaro $\{$ tcanku $\{$ ga $\}$ | 10 |
|  |  |  | L＋H La L Ha L L\％ |  |
|  |  |  | or \｛padaro $\}$ \｛tcadu ga \} |  |
|  |  |  | L＋HHa L＋H L\％ |  |
| $<10>$ HJG |  |  | \｛padaro do\} \{tcadzu gat | 10 |
|  |  |  | L＋H（L＋）Ha L（＋H）L\％ |  |
|  |  |  | or $\{$ padaro do $\}$ \｛tcadzu $\}$ \｛ga |  |
|  |  |  | L＋ $\mathrm{H}(\mathrm{L}+$ ）Ha L Ha L\％ |  |
|  | PIG |  | \｛padarodo $\{$ tramu $\}$ \｛ga | 10 |
|  |  |  | L＋H Ha L Ha L L\％ |  |
|  |  |  | \｛padarodo\} \{tcadu gat |  |
|  |  |  | $\mathrm{L}+\mathrm{H}$ Ha L＋H L\％ |  |


| 一般言語学論叢第6号（2003） |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $<11>\mathrm{HJG}$ |  | \｛modatag $\{$ modzara | 10 |
|  |  | L Ha L L\％ |  |
|  |  | or $\{\bmod$ aga $\bmod \times$ ara $\}$ |  |
|  |  | L＋H L\％ |  |
| PIG |  | \｛modzaga\} \{modza $\times$ a $\}$ | 10 |
|  |  | L＋H Ha L（＋H）L\％ |  |
| $<12>$ HJG |  | \｛modzagorigat \｛modara\} | 10 |
|  |  | L＋H L＋Ha L L\％ |  |
|  |  | or $\{\bmod a\}\{$ goriga $\}$ \｛ modara $\}$ |  |
|  |  | L Ha L Ha L L\％ |  |
|  |  | or $\{\bmod a \mathrm{a}\}$ \｛goriga modzara |  |
|  |  | L Ha L＋H L\％ |  |
| PIG |  | \｛modza \｛ goriga $\}$ \｛modza ra $\}$ | 10 |
|  |  | L на L на L（＋H）L\％ |  |
| $<13>$ HJG | Type A | \｛namuga $\{$ pojo $\}$ | 7 |
|  |  | L на L L\％ |  |
|  |  | or $\{$ namuga pojo $\}$ |  |
|  |  | L＋H L\％ |  |
|  | Type B | \｛namuga bojo \} | 3 |
|  |  | L＋H L\％ |  |
|  |  | \｛namuga\} \{bojo \} |  |
|  |  | $\mathrm{L}+\mathrm{H}$ La L L\％ |  |
| PIG |  | \｛namuga $\{$ pojo $\}$ | 10 |
|  |  | L＋H Ha L L\％ |  |
| $<14>$ HJG |  | \｛podur $\{$ namuga $\{$ pojo $\}$ | 10 |
|  |  | L Ha L Ha L L\％ |  |
|  |  | or $\{$ podui $\}$ \｛namuga pojo $\}$ |  |
|  |  | L Ha L＋H L\％ |  |
| PIG |  | \｛podumamuga\} \{pojo \} | 10 |
|  |  | L＋H L＋Ha L L\％ |  |
| $<15>$ HJG |  | \｛namu $\}$ \｛dariga $\{$ \｛pojo $\}$ | 10 |
|  |  | L Ha L Ha L L\％ |  |
|  |  | or $\{$ namu $\}$ \｛dariga pojo $\}$ |  |
|  |  | L Ha L＋H L\％ |  |
| PIG | Type A | \｛namudariga $\{$ pojo $\}$ | 1 |
|  |  | L＋H La L L\％ |  |

```
    Type B {namu} {tariga} {pojo} 9
    L Ha L Ha L L%
    or {namu} {tariga pojo }
        L Ha L +H L%
        {nanum\mp@code{{ an d&ajo }}10
```

L Ha L＋H L\％

```\｛nanuin\} \{andza jo \(\}\)10
```

L Ha L（＋H）L\％

```\(<17>\) HJG Type A \(\{\) nanum \(\}\{\) an \(\}\{\) tcajo \(\}\)3
```

L Ha L＋Ha L L\％

```or \(\{\) nanum \(\}\{\) an tcajo \(\}\)
```

L Ha L＋H L\％

```
There is a pause before［an］，at which an IP boundary possibly exists．
```

Type B \｛nanum\} \{an dajo\} ..... 7
L Ha L＋H L\％

```\｛nanum \(\}\) \｛andza jo \}10
```

L Ha L（＋H）L\％
\｛igosum $\}$ \｛tari ragofie $\}$ ..... 10
L Ha L＋H L\％

```or \(\{\) igosuin \(\}\{\) tari rago \(\}\{\) fie \(\}\)
```

L Ha L＋H La L L\％

```\｛igo sum \(\}\) \｛tari ragofie \(\}\)10L（ +H ）Ha L＋H L\％or \(\{\mathrm{ig}\) o sum \(\}\{\) tari rago \(\}\{\) fie \(\}\)
```

L（＋H）Ha L＋H La L L\％
\｛igoswin\} \{tari ragofie $\}$ ..... 10
L Ha L＋H ..... L\％

```or \(\{\) igasum \(\}\{\) tari rago \(\}\{\) fie \(\}\)
```

L Ha L＋H La L L\％
PIG

```\｛igo sum \(\}\) \｛tari ragofie \(\}\)10
```

$\mathrm{L}(+\mathrm{H}) \mathrm{Ha} \mathrm{L}+\mathrm{H} \quad \mathrm{L} \%$

```or \(\{\mathrm{ig}\) o sum \(\}\{\) tari rago \(\}\{\) fie \(\}\)
```

L（＋H）Ha L＋H La L L\％
$<20>$ HJG $\quad$ Type A $\{$ igosum $\}\{$ pogirago $\}\{$ fie $\}$ ..... 4
L Ha ..... L＋HL＋Ha L L\％
Type B $\{$ igaswin $\}$ \｛pogirago fie $\}$ ..... 6
L Ha L＋H ..... L\％

|  | or $\{$ igosum $\}$ \｛pogirago $\}$ \｛fie $\}$ <br> L Ha L＋H La L L\％ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PIG | \｛igo sum $\}$ \｛pogirago fie\} | 10 |
|  | L（＋H）Ha L＋H L\％ |  |
|  | or $\{$ igo sum $\}\{$ pogirago $\}\{$ fie $\}$ |  |
|  | $\mathrm{L}(+\mathrm{H}) \mathrm{Ha}$ L＋H La L L\％ |  |
| ＜21＞HJG | \｛igasum $\}$ \｛pogirago fie $\}$ | 10 |
|  | L Ha L＋H L\％ |  |
|  | or $\{$ igosum $\}$ \｛pogirago $\}$ \｛fie $\}$ |  |
|  | L Ha L＋H La L L\％ |  |
| PIG | \｛igo sumn $\{$ pogirago fie\} | 10 |
|  | L（＋H）Ha L＋H L\％ |  |
|  | or $\{\mathrm{ig}$ ¢ $\operatorname{sum}\}\{$ pogirago $\}\{$ fie $\}$ |  |
|  | L（＋H）Ha L＋H La L L\％ |  |
| $<22>$ HJG | \｛tribedo $\}$ \｛kirumi $\}$ \｛modzara | 10 |
|  | L на L на L L\％ |  |
|  | or $\{$ tcibedo $\}$ \｛kirumi modzara $\}$ | 10 |
|  | L Ha L＋H L\％ |  |
| PIG | \｛tribedo $\}$ \｛kirul mi $\}$ \｛modza ra\} | 10 |
|  | L＋H Ha L（＋H）Ha L（＋H）L\％ |  |
| $<23>$ HJG | \｛padado \｛ muri $\}$ \｛modara | 10 |
|  | L H\％L Ha L L\％ |  |
|  | or \｛padado \｛ muri modzara\} | 10 |
|  | L H\％L＋H L\％ |  |
|  | There is a pause and／or final lengthening after |  |
|  | ［padado］，at which an IP boundary clearly exists． \｛padado $\}$ \｛muri $\}$ \｛moda ra$\}$ | 10 |
| PIG | L＋HHa L Ha L（＋H）L\％ |  |
| ＜24＞HJG | \｛isarul $\}$ \｛kanurago $\}$ \｛pap＊as＊л $\}$ | 10 |
|  | L Ha L＋H L＋Ha L（＋H）L\％ |  |
| PIG |  | 10 |
|  | L＋HHa L＋H（L＋）Ha L（＋H）L\％ |  |
| $<25>\mathrm{HJG}$ | \｛i sarul $\}$ \｛kacinurago $\}$ \｛pap＊wcot＊e $\}$ | 10 |
|  | L Ha L＋H L＋Ha L＋H L\％ |  |
| PIG | \｛i sacuil $\}$ \｛kaci nurago $\}$ \｛pap＊w¢ot＊e $\}$ | 10 |
|  | L＋HHa L＋H L＋Ha L＋H L\％ |  |
| ＜26＞HJG | \｛nanum $\}$ \｛tcagori cuil $\}$ \｛tcoa fie $\}$ | 10 |
|  | L Ha L＋HL＋Ha L（＋H）L\％ |  |


| PIG |  |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | L Ha L＋H（L＋）Ha L（＋H）L\％ |
| ＜27＞HJG | \｛nanum $\}\{\min \}\{$ tcogori fuil $\}$ \｛troa fie $\} 10$ |
|  | L Ha L Ha L＋HL＋Ha L（＋H）L\％ |
|  | In a few tokens，a pause is identified after［nanun］， at which an IP boundary possibly exists． |
| PIG | \｛nanum $\}$ \｛mindo agori fuil $\}$ \｛tcoa fie $\} 10$ |
|  | L Ha L＋H（L＋）Ha L（＋H）L\％ |
| ＜28＞HJG | \｛muredaga\} \{sogummil $\left\{\mathrm{t}^{\text {hajo }}\right\}$ |
|  | L＋HL＋Ha H Ha H L\％ |
|  | or $\{$ muredaga $\}$ \｛sogummil $\mathrm{t}^{\text {hajo }}$ \} |
|  | L＋HL＋Ha H＋H L\％ |
| PIG | \｛muredaga $\}$ \｛sogumurl $\left\{\right.$ t $\mathrm{t}^{\text {ajojo }}$ \} 10 |
|  | L＋HL＋Ha H Ha（H）L\％ |
|  | or \｛muredaga\} \{sogummil ${ }^{\text {hajo }}$ \} |
|  | L＋HL＋Ha H＋H L\％ |
| ＜29＞HJG |  |
|  | L Ha L（＋H）（L＋）Ha L L\％ |
|  | In one token，a pause is identified after［pobenun］， at which an IP boundary possibly exists． |
| PIG | \｛pobenum\} \{uri di be\} \{ops*s\} 10 |
|  | L＋HHa L＋H（L＋）Ha L L\％ |
| ＜30＞HJG | \｛uri $\}$ \｛abo あinum $\}$ \｛ moci $\mathrm{s}^{*}$ ，$\}$ |
|  | L Ha L（＋H）L＋Ha L（＋H）L\％ |
| PIG | \｛uri $\}$ \｛abodinum $\}$ \｛mocis＊）${ }^{\text {a }}$ ， 10 |
|  | L Ha L＋H Ha L＋HL\％ |

## 朝鮮語ソウル方言における平叙文 のイントネーションと句形成 <br> －予備的研究－

宇都木 昭
本稿は，筆者がかつて三松国宏氏と行った共同研究（三松•宇都木 2002） の過程でとつた録音資料を改めてまとめたものである。三松•宇都木（2002） においては，実際にとった録音のうちの一部分のみを分析•考察の対象と したが，残りのものも今後の研究にとって貴重な資料となりうると思われ る。そこで本稿では，今後の研究のための予備的研究として，全録音資料 の分析結果を提示した。

今回提示したのは，録音した各文の F0 曲線のほか，句形成と音調の記述である。この記述は，K－ToBIにならって行った。

さらに，これらのデータから予備的な考察も試みた。特に明らかになっ たのは，以下の二点である。
－先行研究において指摘されているように，句中ピークはアクセント句の第二音節に現れる。この傾向は，形態構造の違いによっても変 わることはない。
－アクセント句境界に現れる Ha と La は，連続的関係にあるようで ある。
性に関して重要な課題が見出された。今後は，これらの課題をより詳しく検討していく必要があろう。
s995023＠ipe．tsukuba．ac．jp


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ I would like to thank Prof. Kunihiro Mimatsu for permitting me to use the data originally recorded for joint research.
    ${ }^{2}$ For studies before Jun (1993), see M\&U.
    ${ }^{3}$ What is more, AP is a domain for the lenis obstruents voicing in her model. According to her model, the lenis obstruents are voiced inside an AP and are voiceless at the initial position of an AP.

[^1]:    ${ }^{4}$ In the K－ToBI system，Ha means a H tone at the AP boundary．The tones that appear in the IP boundary are labeled as tones with＂\％，＂ $\mathrm{H} \%$ and $\mathrm{L} \%$ ．
    ${ }^{5}$ These peaks correspond to＂+H ＂and＂Ha＂in K－ToBI，respectively．However，while the labels in K－ToBI represent tones，M\＆U＇s terms represent peaks in the F0 contours at the phonetic level． In this paper，I use the terms＂phrase－medial peak＂and＂phrase－final peak＂in referring to the peaks in the contours and use of＂+H ＂and＂На＂when I refer to the corresponding tones in the K－ToBI system．

[^2]:    ${ }^{6}$ Korean is transliterated in the Yale system of romanization（Martin et al．1967）in this paper． Phonetic representations are transcribed in the broad transcription of IPA with square brackets．

    In the phonetic transcription，$[*]$ represents tense obstruents．$[\mathrm{p}],[\mathbf{w}],[\kappa]$ ，$[\mathbf{t} c]$ ，and $\left[\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{z}}\right]$ in this paper correspond to what is sometimes transcribed by other researchers as $[\mathrm{a}]$ or $[\mathrm{A}],[\mathrm{i}],[\mathrm{[ }],[\mathrm{t}]$ ， ［ b ］，respectively．As for lenis obstruents，transcription is somewhat inconsistent since they have voiceless and voiced allophones，and the distribution of these allophones is sometimes inconsistent （see Footnote 3）．In Appendix A，they are transcribed as voiceless sounds at the word－initial position，and as voiced sounds at the word－medial position，which sometimes disagree with the actual allophones since they vary by subject and by token．Except for Appendix A，they are transcribed with the representative actual allophones in each case．
    ${ }^{7}$ Lee（1973）states that the placement of stress，which he assumes in Seoul Korean，is predicted by the syllable structure of the first syllable．
    ${ }^{8}$ The hay－form is a form of a speech level uttered when talking with intimate speakers．

[^3]:    ${ }^{9}$ For PIG＇s data，the first token of the second day was also excluded for the same reason．Thus， for her data，the second token to the sixth token was analyzed in the recording made on the second day．

[^4]:    ${ }^{10}$ Exceptionally，for the sentence－initial nasals and the nasals after a pause，F0 was not estimated because their F0 perturbation was not stable．

[^5]:    ${ }^{11} \mathrm{An}$ AP boundary at the morpheme boundary of a compound is also found in Japanese（Kubo－ zono 1993：19ff．）．
    ${ }^{12}$ For $\left.\langle 12\rangle,<14\right\rangle$ and $\left.<17\right\rangle$ ，however，this interpretation may be problematic since Korean does not have keli $(<12>)$ ，petu $(<14>)$ and $\min (<17>)$ as independent words．
    ${ }^{13}$ In Korean orthography，a space is inserted between the nouns of a phrase，while no space is inserted between the morphemes of a compound．

[^6]:    ${ }^{14}$ Larger－sized figures are available from the author＇s web site． http：／／www．ipe．tsukuba．ac．jp／s995023／

